

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/672,510	TIEMANN, PETER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

All Participants:

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(2) John Musone.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Time: AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NA

Claims discussed:
 All amended claims

Prior art documents discussed:
 Kelm 4628694

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreement was reached on the Examiner's amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record and also fixed a minor language error ("means" instead of -- devices --).

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)